1	Counsel Listed On Signature Block	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		JOTRICT COLIDT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISO	CO DIVISION
13		G N 07 5044 GG
14	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 07-5944 SC
15	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1917
16	This Degree and Deletes to	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
17	This Document Relates to:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULING OF DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS
18	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
40		

WHEREAS, on September 13, 2013, the Court entered a minute order (Dkt. No. 1931) (1) requesting that the parties in the DAP actions and IPP actions submit a new stipulated scheduling order for those cases; and (2) maintaining the trial date in the DPP actions; and

WHEREAS, on October 7, 2013, the Court entered a Stipulation and Order Regarding Scheduling (Dkt. No. 1991) setting a revised schedule for the IPP actions and DAP actions; and

WHEREAS, on November 4, 2013, the Court entered an Order on Trial Schedule for the Direct Purchaser Action (Dkt. No. 2096)¹ in which it (1) clarified that the deadlines in the DPP actions for expert discovery, fact discovery, dispositive motion practice and mediation were to have remained the same as those set in the Court's March 13, 2013 order (Dkt. No. 1595), and (2) modified all other deadlines in the DPP actions to conform with the Court's October 7, 2013 scheduling order for the IPP and DAP actions (Dkt. No. 1991), including setting the DPP actions for trial on March 9, 2015, the same date as the IPP and DAP actions; and

WHEREAS, the schedule set by the Court's March 13, 2013 order (Dkt. No. 1595) would have required the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs to serve their merits expert reports by October 23, 2013 (which had already passed), with Defendants' expert reports due on November 22, 2013; and

WHEREAS, in light of continuing settlement negotiations, the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs have not yet served their opening expert reports and require additional time to prepare those reports, while the Defendants in the DPP actions are unable to finalize their expert reports until they have received Plaintiffs' reports; and

On the same day, the Court entered an Amended Order on Trial Schedule for Direct Purchaser Action (Dkt. No. 2105) to correct a "typographical error" in Docket No. 2096.

1	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the current deadlines in the DAP actions		
2	and IPP actions for the service of expert reports and close of expert discovery only, as set		
3	in the Court's October 7, 2013 order (Dkt. No. 1991) are reasonable and should also apply		
4	to the DPP actions;		
5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for		
6	the undersigned parties in the DPP actions, as follows:		
7	1. January 21, 2014 shall be the last day for the Direct Purchaser		
8	Plaintiffs to serve opening expert reports on the merits, and the last day for Defendants in		
9	the DPP actions to serve opening expert reports on affirmative defenses.		
10	2. April 22, 2014 shall be the last day for Defendants in the DPP actions		
11	to serve opposition expert reports on the merits, and the last day for the Direct Purchaser		
12	Plaintiffs to serve opposition expert reports on affirmative defenses.		
13	3. July 22, 2014 shall be the last day for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs		
ا4	to serve rebuttal expert reports on the merits and the last day for the Defendants in the DPF		
15	actions to serve rebuttal expert reports on affirmative defenses.		
16	4. The close of expert discovery in the DPP actions shall be September		
17	5, 2014.		
18	5. All other deadlines in the DPP actions shall remain the same as set in		
19	the Court's November 4, 2013 Order and Amended Order on Trial Schedule for Direct		
20	Purchaser Action (Dkt. Nos. 2096 & 2105).		
21	The undersigned parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court enter		
22	this stipulation as an order.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-2-		

_ ,		
1 2 3	Dated: November 21, 2013	By: <u>/s/ James L. McGinnis</u> GARY L. HALLING (SBN 66087) Email: ghalling@sheppardmullin.com
4		JAMES L. MCGINNIS (SBN 95788) Email: jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com
5		MICHAEL SCARBOROUGH (SBN 203524) Email: mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com
6		SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &
7		HAMPTON LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
8		San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: 415-434-9100
9		Facsimile: 415-434-3947
10		Attorneys for Defendants
11		Samsung SDI America, Inc,. Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI (Malaysia) Sdn. Phd
12		Samsung SDI (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda.,
13		Shenzen Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., and Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.,
14		Tunjin Sumsung SDI Co., Liu.,
15		By:/Guido Saveri
16		GUIDO SAVERI (41059)
17		guido@saveri.com R. ALEXANDER SAVERI (173102)
18		rick@saveri.com
19		CADIO ZIRPOLI (179108) cadio@saveri.com
20		SAVERI & SAVERI INC.
21		706 Sansome Street San Francisco, California 94111
22		Telephone: (415) 217-6810
23		Facsimile: (415) 217-6813
		Interim Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser
24		Plaintiffs
25		
26		
27		
28		-3-

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: December 11, 2013 Hon. Samuel Conti United States District Judge